	ROUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional)	······································			
APEX	•			
FROM:			EXTENSION	NO.
DD/ PGM				DATE 9 HIL 1000
4E-60 Hqs. TO: (Officer designation, room number, and		ATE		2 JUL 1980
building)	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from who to whom. Draw a line across column after each commen
Chief, PPG 4E-70 Hqs.			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2.	<u> </u>			
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				ţ
7.				
8.				
		ĺ		
9.				,
10.				
11.				
'''				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				

Approved For Release 2004/05/12:1014-RDF84100788R000100110020-0

25X1

2 JUL 1980

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Chief, Policy and Plans Group				
	FROM:	Deputy Director of Security (P&M)				
	SUBJECT:	APEX				
	proposal to the I system for indust		25X1			
2. I would submit that we should collect the comments and observations of the line Deputy Directors in order to present a strong stand against the suggestion. I am basing this on general policy grounds that the Special Assistant to the DCI for Compartmentation is now straying into substantive security areas where he has no degree of knowledge or expertise. The general impression is left that is quickly becoming a is quickly becoming a is curity Czar," whereas a few short months ago he was crying for our "help." This reflects the earlier expressed concern that APEX would subsume security, and we would be left with responsibility for the parking lot.						
3. There is a lot of natural appeal in the proposal to have more uniformity in the Intelligence Community, but there has to be a deeper appreciation as to the impact of these changes. The HPSCI report might be mentioned to support our stand.						
	cations, places t secondary role.	ern is that this paper, with all of its implithe Director of Security in a subsidary or We should not be parochial, but I do think we objections loud and clear.				
			25X1			
	Att APEX Draft					